AUK General Secretary – Board Meeting Report 11 April 2018

Insurance

In my October report I referred to two notifications to our insurers.

The first of these was an incident on LEL. This now finally seems to have been resolved as the rider involved has passed the matter on to British Cycling's insurers.

The second was the incident on the Flatlands event in the autumn. It would appear that the driver involved may not have been insured and therefore the Motor Insurance Bureau (which deals with uninsured claims) has appointed solicitors, presumably with a view to finding an insured party and they in turn have been in contact with AUK. We are in correspondence with our brokers and underwriters who are directing our responses.

Governance

The new Articles of Association and changes of director were filed at Companies House after the AGM and the Regulations have been updated on the website.

We have also renewed our registration with the ICO for data protection.

Reunion 2018

Mike Lane has let me know that he will not be able to undertake the role of Annual Awards Secretary at this year's reunion. He is happy to support whoever is able to undertake the role but he will not be attending and cannot therefore deal with delivery, setting up etc. It would be ideal for someone based in Scotland but that is not essential.

AGM

The total number of votes cast at this AGM was a slight increase on last year's numbers and almost exactly in proportion to the increase in membership. You will see from the enclosed Report of Voting that it still only represents 8.34% of the eligible members contacted via email. I did query this with ERS and they said that 5-10% was average for most organisations of our size and bigger, with the higher end upwards only being achieved if there was something particularly controversial at stake.

We have used the two main online voting site providers for the two AGMs I have been involved with and it has been interesting to note the differences in their approaches. Overall, I would say they are very close in terms of performance. I did feel that ERS were trying to work within a budget as compared to the level of support received from Mi-voice but part of that is the learning curve of working with the two organisations.

I would be happy to use either organisation next year, depending on price. I would, however, expect to be more proactive in leading them to follow our specifications and requirements rather vice versa. In both cases there were issues with the way they conducted the voting but I am confident that we can deal with these appropriately next time around.